IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

THURSDAY, THE 8TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 19TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 3569 of 2007(Y)

PETITIONER:

C.X. PHILIMENA,
STORE KEEPER, KERALA STATE HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD., REGIONAL OFFICE,
KALATHIPARAMBIL ROAD, COCHIN-16.

BY ADV. SRI.M.V.BOSE
SRI.VINOD MADHAVAN
SMT.NISHA BOSE

RESPONDENTS:

----

1. **THE KERALA STATE HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, H.O. KANNUR-670 001.**

2. **THE CHAIRMAN,**
KERALA STATE HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
KANNUR-670 001.

3. **THE REGIONAL MANAGER,**
KERALA STATE HANDLOOMS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD
KALATHIPARAMBIL ROAD, ERNAKULAM, COCHIN-16.

4. **THE ASSISTANT MARKETING MANAGER,**
KERALA STATE HANDLOOMS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD
REGIONAL OFFICE, ERNAKULAM, KALATHIPARAMBIL ROAD, COCHIN-16.

BY SHRI.A.K.JAYASANKAR NAMBIAR,.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/02/2007 , THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.3569 OF 2007-Y.

==========

Dated this the 8th day of February, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is a Store Keeper in the service of the 1st respondent. The challenge is to Ext.P3 memo issued on 25-5-2005. Ext.P3 shows that an amount of Rs.2,30,706.60 is due from the petitioner to the respondent Corporation on account of the stock deficit. Out of Rs.2,30,706.60, Rs.1,94,279.25 is the principal amount and Rs.36,427.35 is the interest with effect from 1-4-2004. Ext.P3 says that a sum of Rs.10,859/= which is due to the petitioner towards Dearness Allowance arrears shall be adjusted against the amount shown above as liability. Ext.P3 has been issued based on the decision taken by the respondent Corporation as per Ext.P1 order dated 6-6-2005.

2. Sri.M.V.Bose, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has brought her grievances to the notice of the 2nd respondent as also the Managing Director of the 1st respondent Corporation. Ext.P6 representation filed by the petitioner is pending with the Managing Director of the Corporation. Counsel submits that the petitioner has made statements touching the merits of

the case and that the Managing Director may be directed by this Court to consider the same and take decision as early as possible.

3. I have heard Sri.Jayasankar Nambiar, learned counsel for respondents 1 to 4. He points out that the order passed as per Ext.P1 after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner, is not under challenge in this writ petition. However, the Managing Director is prepared to consider Ext.P6 and pass appropriate orders as early as possible.

4. In the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of directing the Managing Director of the 1st respondent Corporation to consider Ext.P6, take appropriate decision and communicate the same to the petitioner within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment before the 1st respondent for compliance.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE.

kvs/-